UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,321	06/15/2005	Daniel Willem Elisabeth Schobben	P08635US00	2723
	7590 05/27/200 RHEES & SEASE, P.I	EXAMINER		
801 GRAND AVENUE			GOMA, TAWFIK A	
SUITE 3200 DES MOINES,	IA 50309-2721		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/539,321	SCHOBBEN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	TAWFIK GOMA	2627		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION OF THE MAILING DESTRUCTION OF THE MODERN	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON.  e timely filed  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 I</u> 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This  3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-30 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-30 are subject to restriction	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is a	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A: Fig. 2;

Species B: Fig. 3;

Species C: Fig. 4;

Species D: Fig. 5; and

Species E, Fig. 6.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1 and 12.

Art Unit: 2627

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claims 1 and 12 are generic claims and contain the limitations which are common among the species.

With respect to claims 1 and 12, claims 1 and 12 lack a general inventive concept because the limitations of the claims are disclosed by Soga (US 5610893) as previously cited and applied among others. Soga discloses an electronic device (recording and reproducing apparatus) containing a control unit (controller 2; Figure 1) capable of reproducing content (copying content from a master disk to other disks; refer to column 2, lines 11 - 15), receiving from a detector (medium mounting detection means 26; Figure 6) a signal indicating insertion and of an object (copying disks, col. 8 lines 11-15) into an object holder (51b, 51c, fig. 10), and is able to use a reproduction means to start reproduction of content from a storage means (master disk) different from the object (copying disks) if occurrence of one of the first event of the second event is detected from the detector (Figure 7; column 2, lines 56 - 59).

Responding to applicant's arguments, filed on 3/02/2009, pertaining to the Soga reference, these arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Soga reference requires two detection steps have to be performed before the reproduction from the master for copying takes place. This argument is not persuasive because Soga discloses that the master disc detection can occur first in time, followed by the detection of the copy discs (see fig. 7 and col. 8 lines 11-15). Applicant instead relies on the disclosure of figure 6, which checks whether the copy discs are mounted first, followed by checking for the master discs. In the method presented in figure 7, Soga discloses that the system's determination of whether to proceed to the copying

Art Unit: 2627

steps which includes reproducing the master disc, is based on the detection of the copying discs, such that the reproduction of the master disc is in response to the detection result pertaining to the copy discs.

The amendments to the claims have introduced additional claims which are directed to the various species as distinguished above. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 2627

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was not made to applicant to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to the complexity of the restriction requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAWFIK GOMA whose telephone number is (571)272-4206. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,321 Page 6

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Joseph H. Feild/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit

2627

/Tawfik Goma/

Examiner, Art Unit 2627